57-2802

SEP

SECRATURE TO : Inspector dependi

Deputy Director (Plans)

Deputy Director (Datelligence) Deputy Director (Support)

Devarty Director (Coordination)

SBEE

: Immediar Comerch's Depairy into TDY Foreign

Travel by Redouarters Personnel

I have given careful concidentation to your comprehensive gianty on TM Foreign Trevel by Lieutenerters Personnel and to the comments thereon by the ID/P, ID/I and ID/S. I have taken action on the recommendations contained in this report as follows:

## X Persyreak VII. C.

- Becommodation L.s. Not approved for the various reasons cited by the DD/P, DD/I and DD/S in their respective consents. However, while I do not consider it proper to west in the DD/P the "authority and responsibility for the regulation and control" of all 197 travel by Handquarters personnel, I do agree that MD/P must continue to somitor and concur in all such travel. Therefore, I desire that a system be implemented by the vertous components whereby all proposed foreign travel be reviewed by the operating official concerned prior to administrate to the ID/F, or his single designee, for concurrence. Upon concurrence by the DD/P, the travel will be approved by the Deputy Director concerned, or his single designee. Such a grater should place responsibilities where they can be properly exercised as well as accomplishing dealred controls.
- Recommendation 1.b. Approved, but this approval shall not be construed to seen six mathe advance actification of each proposed trip. Buther, this requirement shall be for the O/DCI, DD/I, ID/S and ID/C to familish to the DD/P semi-enmually a schedule of times respective contemplated IN travel during the most following six month partod.
- Processedations 2.a. and b. Not approved insofter so an exhitrary 1% reduction in travel espenditures and mathers of trips are concerned. However, every effort to effect economies in travel via tighter controls, shorter III tripe, greater use of di-season travel, etc. is suproved.

× heconsendations 3.a. and b. - Approved.

A Recommendation i.e. - Not approved. However, I should like to have the ID and ND/P propers a list of those stations and besses where in fact, "trevel has assumed such proportions....that it is interfering with....the accomplishment of their assigned missions" (page 46 of the ID survey). Depending upon the seriousness of the situation in these areas consideration one then be given to implementation of this recommendation at certain stations and bases.

STATINTL

×

Stortly to be re-finish in new Regulation ATINTL seem to be entirely appropriate. In general I consider courtery calls highly advisable unless security or operational considerations dictate otherwise. It should be clearly understood that courtesy calls do not necessarily need to be received by the Station Chief personally. Visitors may be received by a designes or designess of the Station Chief but at least the Dintion is afforded the exportanity of knowley who is in the area and what they are planning to do in the area. Also, the Station is in a position to offer suggestions and guidence which may preclude the transfer unwittingly making a security alip which would be prejudicial to the Station and/or certain of its personnel.

Becommendation 4.c. - Approved.

Asconsenistion 4.d. - Approved. DD/S to prepare an appropriate letter for DCI algorithms.

Breach, Office of Personnel, is designated as the implementing office.

is and

STATINTL

C. P. CANELL Lieutenent General, USAF Acting Director

O/DCI/Dist:
Dist:
Orig - IC
2 - DCI
1 - DD/P
1 - DD/S
1 - DD/C
1 - FMC via Reading

1 - ER